Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/537,184	BHATIA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tri V. Nguyen	1751
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Tri V. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Alan Bornstein.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 September 2006	Time: <u>11AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 13,15-18		
Prior art documents discussed: Mooney (US 5,965,517)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN		
An authorization for an examiner's amendment was granted by claim 13. Details of the amendment are attached herewith.	y the Applicant's Representati	ve to cancel claims 15-18 and amend
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a e record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)